

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,311 04/19/2004		Thorsten Stabel	47481-0008-00-US	1320
55694	7590 11/17/2006	EXAMINER		
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC) 1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100			ADDISU, SARA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			3722	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/826,311	STABEL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Sara Addisu	3722

	Sara Addisu	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendr tice of Appeal (with appeal	nent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from t	ne mailing date of the final rejec	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding thortened statutory period for than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The approperly originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	ths of the date of he appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	hiik malay ka kha alaka af Elim.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection filed after a final rejection filed after a filed aft	nsideration and/or search (pecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		erially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	• •	nally rejected claims.	·
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	• • • •	Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		eparate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		o)	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections und	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claim	s after entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>	
13. Other:		Monica CART	anter
	$\preceq A$	MONICA CART	ER
	-5A 11/2406	SUPERVISCA: STENT	EXAMINE

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claim 1 includes limitations that raise new issue that require further searching. ...